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Abstract:-
This research Examined the interaction between company size and corporate social responsibility of consumer goods manufacturing firms in Nigeria from 2010-2019. The main objective of this study was to investigate the interaction between company size and corporate social responsibility of consumer goods manufacturing firms in Nigeria. The specific objectives of the study will be to: (i) Ascertain the effect of Board size on CSR (ii) Investigate the effect of size of employees on CSR. Two research hypotheses were formulated to evaluate whether or not there is interaction between company size and corporate social responsibility of consumer goods manufacturing firms. The paper utilized secondary information in the form of panel data extracted from published annual reports and accounts of 12 randomly selected quoted firms in Nigeria Stock Exchange. The study adopted ex post facto research design in carrying out the research. SPSS Regression technique was used to obtain the empirical results. The results revealed that Board size had positive relationship with CSR of manufacturing firms in Nigeria (R= 0.004, P < 0.05). This showed that CSR does not depend on the board size. There was a positive relationship between size of employees and corporate social responsibility of manufacturing firms in Nigeria (R = 0.083, P < 0.05). This indicated that practicing of CSR will not affect employees size. Hence, company should liberalize CSR expenditure when sales is on the increase and be more conservative when sales are declining. The study therefore, recommended that the consumer goods manufacturing firms should continue to invest on CSR. Since it helps in promoting their firms’ image in long run.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

In recent years worldwide, corporate social responsibility (CSR) has become exceptionally essential, as supported by the growth in academic literature highlighting the practical reasons for firms to integrate CSR into their practices (Joela, 2017). Barbara and Maria (2017) stipulated in their work that a growing number of organizations have focused attention on corporate responsibility issues, increasing the number of resources allocated to CSR activities.

It is noteworthy that nowadays, social responsibility has attained a diverse role in business strategies. It’s increasingly evolving to a governance issue rather than mere communication activities with a more profound impact on both the organization and its financial performance. A good number of the literature on CSR, subsequent to the many definitions of responsible behavior and their suitability with the profit concept, focused on the link between CSR and corporate performance (Margolis & Walsh, 2003; Dowell, Konar & Cohen, 2001; Hart & Yeung, 2000), offering exciting and unanimous findings (Deng Kang & Low, 2013).

Elizabeth and Hatice (2015) state that CSR commitment is a tactical choice to advance the firm's financial performance. Research proves that firms expend millions of money on CSR initiatives to guarantee market demands on behaving socially responsible (Bhandari & Javakhadze, 2017). The empirical works on CSR show two conflicting views. The first view states that CSR can attain shareholder wealth maximization and contribute significantly to society in general. Alternatively, the second view to CSR states that making money is the absolute social responsibility of organizations. This view argued that engaging in CSR performance can lead to agency problems in organizations in the long run (Bhandari & Javakhadze, 2017). Despite the highlighted focal point on CSR in diverse literature, researchers have not reached a consensus on CSR’s importance or financial worth.

To this, one may say that CSR engagements can create value for organizations, and may enhance their economic performance, influencing different aspects such as organizational reputation, risk profiles, and debt cost (Barbara & Maria 2017). CSR has metamorphosed to be a crucial subject issue in business over the years. The argument over the intrinsic value of CSR revolves around if such investments are value-enhancing or whether they are the value-destroying manifestation of agency conflicts (Allen & Gordon 2011). Though there is considerable research on CSR in Nigeria, most of them focus on the association between CSR and the firm's performance.

1.2 Statement of Problem

The Nigerian Consumer goods manufacturing firms are one of the major sectors contributing a lot to the development of Nigeria. However, its impact cannot be overemphasized due to its immense growth in our country at large. The primary concern of business organizations today is to remain relevant in the market by striving to cope with the ever-increasing brutal competition in the market. In addition, managers are faced with challenges of achieving optimal profit-making. The firm's goals are directed towards maximizing the shareholders' wealth and reviewing the company's growth without considering the other factors associated with the environment or society. Unfortunately, most of these communities are neglected even when companies are making a profit, especially in CSR, where activities are seriously needed. But these are the challenges facing some of the manufacturing companies today.

In this circumstance, one often speaks of focusing on the apparent "triple bottom line", that is, the present-day thought of economic, social, and environmental aspects in the formulation of corporate course of action (KlausMichael 2010). In this study, we investigate this notion further by looking at the interaction between size and CSR of sampled manufacturing companies’ size.

Simona and Veronika (2020), as cited in Visser, Matten, Pohl, and Tolhurst, postulates that the theme CSR is mostly engaged by big national and trans-national organizations. CSR is generally less formalized and institutionalized and more related to philanthropy and charity, and it’s a medium for enhancing revenue.

1.3 Objective of the Study

The main study objective is to explore the relationship between company size and CSR. The specific aim of the study will be to:

1. Ascertain the effect of board size on corporate social responsibility of consumer goods manufacturing firms in Nigeria.
2. Determine the effect of size of employee on corporate social responsibility of Consumer goods manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

1.4 Research Hypotheses

1. Board size has no significant effect on the corporate social responsibility of consumer goods manufacturing firms in Nigeria.
2. Size of employees has no significant effect on the corporate social responsibility of Consumer goods manufacturing firms in Nigeria.
Finally, CSR improves the firm's reputation and social value, enhances profitability, and positively influences performance. Performance of internal defined social responsibility results in improvement of the organization situation, thus increasing the firm's profitability and efficiency.
2.2. Theoretical Framework
2.2.1 Stakeholder Theory
Freeman (1984) defines stakeholders’ as any individual or group who can influence or is influenced by the affairs, activities, and interests of organizations. Primarily, a stakeholder group comprises shareholders, suppliers, trade associations, customers, public entities (e.g. government), employees, and environmental groups (Pirsch, Gupta, & Grau, 2007). The theory contends that an organization's value offering should not be for only its shareholders, but, must include its stakeholders. In 1984, Edward Freeman initially explored organizational management ethics and stakeholder theory that outlines values and morals in coordinating an organization. Stakeholder representation in corporate decision making is already growing.

2.3. Empirical Review
2.3.1 CSR and Organization size
Firm size is an important control variable and positively influences the correlation between CSR and organization performance (Mc-Williams & Siegel, 2001; Stanwick & Stanwick, 1998; Brik et al., 2011; Park, 2010). Golrida, Mira, and Prem (2019) researched Re-examining Firm Size and CSR: The Visibility Approach. The result in this research contributed to the conclusion that the form of CSR engagement and firm size in emerging countries' context is different from engagement in advanced countries. And the objectives of the study were firm Size, visibility, analyst coverage, and news coverage. Alina et al (2018) examined the association between firm size, age, and its social responsibility actions explored by small and medium ventures in an emerging country. The finding showed that neither age nor size relates with the number and type of social actions undertaken.

Johannes and Henrik (2015), the effect of organization size on the value relevance of social ratings. The finding demonstrates that social, environmental, and total CSR ratings are value-relevant and related to lower market values. Also, there is little impact on results by including company size as a variable. Furthermore, the discovery of this study differs from previous research, implying that results are very sensitive to changes in the regression model. Alina, Daniel, Tomina, and Roxana (2018) studied The Relationship between Firm Size and Age, and Its Social Responsibility Actions. The major objective of the study is to examine actions and practices associated with social responsibility, which are performed by small and medium ventures in Romania, and to show which factors are relevant in ascertaining the diverse extent of involvement in CSR actions.

Simona and Veronika (2020) executed research on firm characteristics and CSR. The study sought to examine the association between selected characteristics of firms (firm age, firm size, firm performance, and gender diversity of boards) and the execution of CSR concept in the Czech transportation and storage industry. Secondary data were retrieved from documented Albertina database, survey, business register, and utilized regression analysis and the Pearson and Spearman correlation coefficients, the result revealed the presence of a significant statistical relationship between firm size, firm financial performance, and CSR engagement of firms. Alternatively, firm age and gender diversity of boards are not the variables acting the CSR engagement. These findings have initiated a new understanding in the sphere of CSR execution in the Czech Republic. The CSR theme was likewise explored in the context of other organizational characteristics; firm age and firm size. The association between CSR and these factors lacks theoretical validation. Results of extant empirical examination have not validated a broad-based execution protocol. Given the stakeholder theory approach, the engagement of CSR may be enhanced as the organization ages and experiences size increase. Older and bigger organizations exhibit higher responsibility and engagement, especially in areas of environmental awareness and diversity.

2.3.2 CSR and Board size
Muhammad & Sabo (2015) studied the influence of board characteristics on CSR. The study explored the association between board quality and CSR disclosure of listed Nigerian manufacturing firms. Data were analyzed by the utilization of descriptive statistics. The result shows that board size and independence possess a positive significant influence on the extent of CSR disclosure of the manufacturing organizations. However, board independence indicates an insignificant association with CSR Swati and Amita (2014) conducted relational research of a firm's characteristics and CSR expenditure. The research sought to explore the influence of firm characteristics on CSR expenditure. The study revealed that firm size, firm sales, firm profitability, impact CSR expenditure, while there was no influence of firm leverage on CSR expenditure.

Ezekiel, Florence, and George (2016) studied the influence of board size on the profitability of a firm. Particularly, the research examined the influence of board size, firms’ size, and firms’ age on return on capital employed by the selected organizations. The results revealed that a significant positive association between the board size, firm size, and return on capital employed.

2.3.3 CSR and Employee size
The scope and forms of CSR engagement in emerging and transitional nations do not vary significantly from the scope and forms of the developed nations, and they are not limited to charity and philanthropy (Lindgreen et al., 2010). The authors discovered some deviations with regard to the organization/business model. The stakeholder organizations are most prone to possess a CSR unit of employees dedicated to handling these issues.
Berman et al. (1999) discovered a positive significant relationship between the construct of CSR dimensions and short-term profitability. The study showed that organizational activity advancing employees' relations has a positive relationship with the efficiency of firms. They posit that executing human resources practices with its ethical and legal dimensions, facilitates firms' actualization of higher productivity, diminished turnover, and enhanced employee commitment in firms. Also, the discovery revealed that failure to sustain standard product offering via irresponsible organizational activities results in reduced patronage, and decreased profitability of such organization.

Employee turnover has a key influence on firms' competitiveness (Becker & Huselid, 1998). Studies reveal that the utilization of practices in strategic human resources management; for example, results in reduced employee turnover, which has a positive relationship with the organizations' performance and productivity (Huselid, 1995; Becker & Gerhart, 1996; Koch & McGrath, 1996; Guthrie, 2001). Executives continue to advocate that employees are their major important asset and that a firm's ability to retain employees is a hallmark of sustainable organizational performance (Price Water House Coopers, 2007).

3.0 Research Methodology
3.1 The study adopted the ex-post facto design since it relied on historical data
3.2 Model Specification
The study utilized multiple regression models following the hypotheses formulated for the study. Generally, the multiple regression model states that the dependent variable, (Y) is a function of independent variables, (X_i's) such that Y = \( \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + e \). Such that,
\[
\text{CSR} = \beta_0 + \beta_1\text{BS} + \beta_2\text{ES} + e
\]
Where, CSR = Y =CSR (dependent variable)
BS = X_1 = Board size (Independent variable) ES = X_2 = Employees Size (Independent variable) \( \beta_0 = \) Constant \( \beta_1,nd \beta_2 \), are the regression parameters
\( e \) is the stochastic error linked with the model.

4.0 PRESENTATION AND ANALYSES OF DATA
4.1 Data Presentation
TABLE 4.2 SPSS MODEL SUMMARY OF IMPACT OF BOARD SIZE ON CSR ON FIRM BY FIRM BASIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Firms</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Adj. R²</th>
<th>DW</th>
<th>Standard Coefficients</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>T- Value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm 1</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-1.24</td>
<td>.574</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-0.094</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm 2</td>
<td>.300</td>
<td>.090</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>.785</td>
<td>-0.300</td>
<td>-0.89</td>
<td>-0.790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm 3</td>
<td>.017</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>-1.25</td>
<td>.179</td>
<td>-0.017</td>
<td>-0.047</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm 4</td>
<td>.407</td>
<td>.166</td>
<td>.062</td>
<td>1.137</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>1.262</td>
<td>1.592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm 5</td>
<td>.303</td>
<td>.092</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>1.134</td>
<td>-0.303</td>
<td>.900</td>
<td>0.811</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE:
R = Correlation Coefficient or Beta
R² = Coefficient of Determination
Adj. R² = Adjusted Coefficient of Determination
DW = Durbin Watson (d) test statistic
T-value = Student t-test Statistic
F = F-test statistic

TABLE 4.3 SPSS MODEL SUMMARY OF IMPACT OF CSR ON EMPLOYEE SIZE ON FIRM BY FIRM BASIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Firms</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Adj. R²</th>
<th>DW</th>
<th>Standard Coefficients</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>T- Value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm 1</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>2.371</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>.851</td>
<td>0.724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm 2</td>
<td>.566</td>
<td>.321</td>
<td>.236</td>
<td>2.086</td>
<td>-0.566</td>
<td>-1.944</td>
<td>3.779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm 3</td>
<td>.187</td>
<td>.035</td>
<td>-0.086</td>
<td>.561</td>
<td>-0.187</td>
<td>-0.537</td>
<td>0.288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm 4</td>
<td>.214</td>
<td>.046</td>
<td>-0.073</td>
<td>.624</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>-0.621</td>
<td>-0.385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm 5</td>
<td>.183</td>
<td>.034</td>
<td>-0.087</td>
<td>1.693</td>
<td>-0.183</td>
<td>.527</td>
<td>0.278</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE:
R = Correlation Coefficient or Beta
R² = Coefficient of Determination
Adj. R² = Adjusted Coefficient of Determination
DW = Durbin Watson (d) test statistic
T-value = Student t-test Statistic
F = F-test statistic

From the table above, on a firm by firm basis, it reveals that for;

Hypothesis One
Ho: Board size has no significant effect on corporate social responsibility of Consumer goods manufacturing firms in Nigeria
Hi: Board size has a significant effect on corporate social responsibility of Consumer goods manufacturing firms in Nigeria

Model Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
<th>Durbin-Watson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.004a</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td>1490.09417</td>
<td>.719</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Predictors: (Constant), BSIZE
b. Dependent Variable: CSR

ANOVA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>4739.150</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4739.150</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>.963b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>237580729.162</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>2220380.646</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>237585468.312</td>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: CSR
b. Predictors: (Constant), BSIZE

Coefficients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>1576.892</td>
<td>148.294</td>
<td>10.634</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>1.818E-008</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>.046</td>
<td>.963</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: CSR

R, the correlation coefficient which has a value of 0.004, indicates that there is a relationship between board size and corporate social responsibility. R square, the coefficient of determination, shows that 0.01% of the variation in board size is explained by the model.

With the linear regression model, the error of estimate is low, with a value of about 1490.09417. The Durbin Watson statistics of 0.719, which is not more than 2, indicates there is no autocorrelation. The board size coefficient of 0.004 indicates no positive significance between board size and CSR, which is not statistically significant (with t = .046).

Therefore, the null hypothesis should be rejected and the alternative hypothesis accordingly accepted. Thus board size has no significant effect on CSR of consumer goods manufacturing firms in Nigeria. Hypothesis Two

Ho: Size of employees has no significant effect on corporate social responsibility of Consumer goods manufacturing firms in Nigeria.
Hi: Size of employees has a significant effect on corporate social responsibility of Consumer goods manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

Model Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
<th>Durbin-Watson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.083a</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>-.002</td>
<td>2.55495</td>
<td>.543</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Predictors: (Constant), ESIZE
b. Dependent Variable: CSR

### ANOVA*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>4.824</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.824</td>
<td>.739</td>
<td>.392b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>691.945</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>6.528</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>696.769</td>
<td>107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: CSR
b. Predictors: (Constant), ESIZE

### Coefficients*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>8.987</td>
<td>255</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>5.799E-010</td>
<td>000</td>
<td>083</td>
<td>860</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: CSR

R = 0.083
R² = 0.007
F = .739
T = .860
DW = 0.543

Interpretation:

R, the correlation coefficient which has a value of 0.083, indicates that there is a relationship between employees' size and CSR. R square, the coefficient of determination, shows that 0.07% of the variation in employees' size is explained by the model.

With the linear regression model, the error of estimate is low, with a value of about 0.255495. The Durbin Watson statistics of 0.543, which is not more than 2, indicates there is no autocorrelation. The employee's size coefficient of 0.083 indicates a no positive significant relationship between employees' size and CSR, which is statistically significant (with t = .086).

Therefore, the null hypothesis should be rejected and the alternative hypothesis accordingly accepted. Thus employees' size has no significant effect on CSR of consumer goods manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

### 5.0 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 5.1 Summary of Findings

The findings at the end of this study include the following:

i. board size has no significant effect on Corporate social responsibility of consumer goods manufacturing firms in Nigeria (r = 0.004; F= .002; t = .046; p<0.05)

ii. size of employees has significant negative effect on Corporate social responsibility of consumer goods manufacturing firms in Nigeria (r = -0.017; F=.030; t = -.173; p< 0.05)

#### 5.2 Conclusion

This study examined the interaction between company size and CSR of consumer goods manufacturing firms in Nigeria (2010-2019) using a sample of twelve consumer goods manufacturing firms in Nigeria. For specific findings, the board size and employee size were used as the proxy for measuring the company size of consumer goods manufacturing firms. The result revealed that company size has no significant effect on CSR of manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

#### 5.3 Recommendations

Following the results of the research, we recommend that:

1. The board size has no significant effect on CSR. It implied that whether firms practice CSR or not it will not affect both side.

2. Size of employee has no significant effect on CSR. We recommended that firms should maintain maximum level of employees seems it has no increase/decrease effect on each other.
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